| 1  | COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE  |
|----|---|
| 2  | FOR   |
| 3  | Senate Bill No. 568   |
| 4  | (By Senators Plymale, Jenkins, Foster, Unger, Prezioso, Barnes,   |
| 5  | Tucker and Stollings)   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  | [Originating in the Committee on Education;                       |
| 8  | reported February 17, 2012.]                                      |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 | A BILL to repeal §18-2E-5c of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as |
| 15 | amended; to amend and reenact $\$18-1-4$ of said code; to amend   |
| 16 | and reenact §18-2-23a of said code; to amend said code by         |
| 17 | adding thereto a new section, designated §18-2-39; and to         |
| 18 | amend and reenact $\$18-2E-5$ of said code, all relating to       |
| 19 | college and career readiness; requiring the State Board of        |
| 20 | Education, the Higher Education Policy Commission and the         |
| 21 | Council for Community and Technical College Education to          |
| 22 | collaborate in formally adopting uniform and specific college     |
| 23 | and career readiness standards for English/language arts and      |
| 24 | math; setting forth methods for determining whether students      |
| 25 | have met the college and career readiness standards; requiring    |
| 26 | that an explicit focus be embedded in each course on the          |
|    |   |

1 development of English/language arts and math skills; 2 requiring a twelfth-grade transitional course for both 3 English/language arts and math for students not on track to be 4 college ready; requiring professional development on teaching 5 the college and career readiness standards be included in the 6 state board's goals for professional staff development and 7 ultimately in its Master Plan for Professional Staff 8 Development; requiring the state board to require all teacher 9 preparation programs in the state to include appropriate 10 training for teachers teaching in at least grades eight 11 through twelve on how to teach the adopted standards; 12 requiring the use of certain assessments, exams or tests, as 13 applicable, to determine whether a student is to enroll in a remedial course or be placed immediately in a college-level 14 15 introductory course; requiring accountability for increasing 16 the percentage of students who meet the standards and for 17 increasing the percentage of students who are making adequate 18 progress toward meeting the standards; deleting requirement 19 that a school or school system that achieves adequate yearly 20 progress is eligible for no less than full accreditation or 21 approval status as applicable; and eliminating obsolete 22 Process for Improving Education Council.

23 Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:

That §18-2E-5c of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, 25 be repealed; that §18-1-4 of said code be amended and reenacted; 26 that §18-2-23a of said code be amended and reenacted; that said

1 code be amended by adding thereto a new section, designated \$18-2-2 39; and that \$18-2E-5 of said code be amended and reenacted, all to 3 read as follows:

4 ARTICLE 1. DEFINITIONS; LIMITATIONS OF CHAPTER; GOALS FOR 5 EDUCATION.

6 §18-1-4. Vision 2020: An Education Blueprint for Two Thousand
7 Twenty.

8 (a) This section, together with section one-a, article one, 9 chapter eighteen-b of this code and article one-d of said chapter, 10 shall be known as and may be cited as Vision 2020: An Education 11 Blueprint for Two Thousand Twenty.

12 (b) For the purposes of this section:

13 (1) "Goals" means those long-term public purposes which are 14 the desired end result and only may include those items listed in 15 subsection (e) of this section;

16 (2) "Objectives" means the ends to be accomplished or attained 17 within a specified period of time for the purpose of meeting the 18 established goals; and

(3) "Strategies" means specific activities carried out by the 20 public education system which are directed toward accomplishing 21 specific objectives.

22 (c) The Legislature finds that:

23 (1) The measure of a thorough and efficient system of 24 education is whether students graduate prepared to meet the 25 challenges of the future as contributing members of society and 26 that these challenges change, becoming ever more complex and

1 involving a global context more than at any other time in the 2 history of our nation;

3 (2) The state recently has embraced and is implementing the 4 Partnership for 21st Century Skills model for teaching and learning 5 including six key elements (core subjects, 21st Century content, 6 learning and thinking skills, information and communications 7 technology literacy, life skills and 21st Century assessments) to 8 help better prepare students for the challenges of the 21st 9 Century;

10 (3) Published national studies by several organizations 11 routinely examine various elements of state education systems and 12 selected underlying socioeconomic variables and rate and rank West 13 Virginia and the other states, the District of Columbia and the 14 territories based on the measurement systems and priorities 15 established by the organizations, and these measurement systems and 16 priorities change;

17 (4) While the state should take pride in studies that show 18 West Virginia is among the leaders in several of its efforts and is 19 making progress, its students often outperforming expectations 20 based on typical indicators of the likelihood for student success, 21 such as the income and education levels of their parents, it should 22 also recognize that the state must do even more to ensure that high 23 school graduates are fully prepared for postsecondary education or 24 gainful employment;

(5) Therefore, the purpose of this section is to provide for26 the establishment of a clear plan that includes goals, objectives,

1 strategies, indicators and benchmarks to help guide the state's
2 policymakers on the continuous development of the state's education
3 system for the 21st Century.

4 (d) As part of Vision 2020: An Education Blueprint for Two 5 Thousand Twenty, the state board shall establish a plan in 6 accordance with the provisions of this section for submission to 7 and consideration by the Process for Improving Education Council 8 pursuant to section five-c, article two-e of this chapter 9 Legislative Oversight Commission on Education Accountability. The 10 plan shall include only the goals, objectives, strategies, 11 indicators and benchmarks for public education set forth in this 12 section and that meet the requirements of this section. To add 13 clarity and avoid confusion, the goals for public education set 14 forth in the plan pursuant to this section are the exclusive goals 15 for public education. The plan shall include:

(1) The goals set forth in this section and no other goals;
(2) At least the objectives set forth in this section and
18 specified periods of time for achieving those objectives and any
19 other objectives that may be included in the plan;

20 (3) Strategies for achieving the specific objectives;

(4) Indicators for measuring progress toward the goals and22 objectives established in this section; and

(5) Benchmarks for determining when the goals and objectiveshave been achieved.

(e) The plan shall include the following list of exclusive26 goals for the public education system in West Virginia:

1 (1) Academic achievement according to national and 2 international measures will exceed national and international 3 averages. These national and international measures should include 4 scores on assessments such as the National Assessment of 5 Educational Progress (NAEP), the ACT, the SAT and the Programme for 6 International Assessment (PISA);

7 (2) The public education system will prepare fully all8 students for postsecondary education or gainful employment;

9 (3) All working-age adults will be functionally literate; 10 (4) The public education system will maintain and promote the 11 health and safety of all students and will develop and promote 12 responsibility, citizenship and strong character in all students; 13 and

14 (5) The public education system will provide equitable 15 education opportunity to all students.

16 (f) The plan also shall include at least the following policy-17 oriented objectives:

(1) Rigorous 21st Century curriculum and engaging instruction for all students. -- All students in West Virginia public schools should have access to and benefit from a rigorous 21st Century curriculum that develops proficiency in core subjects, 21st Century content, learning skills and technology tools. These students also should have that curriculum delivered through engaging, researchbased instructional strategies that develop deep understanding and the ability to apply content to real-world situations;

26 (2) A 21st Century accountability and accreditation system. --

1 The prekindergarten through twelve education system should have a 2 public accrediting system that: (i) Holds local school districts 3 accountable for the student outcomes the state values; and (ii) 4 provides the public with understandable accountability data for 5 judging the quality of local schools. The outcomes on which the 6 system is based should be rigorous and should align with national 7 and international standards such as the National Assessment of 8 Educational Progress (NAEP), the ACT, the SAT and the Programme for 9 International Assessment (PISA). The broad standards established 10 for these outcomes should include a focus on: (A) Mastery of basic 11 skills by all students; (B) closing the achievement gap among 12 student subgroups; and (C) high levels of proficiency in a wide 13 range of desired 21st Century measures and processes. The system 14 for determining school and district accreditation should include 15 school and district self analysis and generate appropriate 16 research-based strategies for improvement. It also should allow 17 opportunities to create innovative approaches to instructional 18 delivery and design. Thus, the system will incorporate processes 19 for encouraging innovation, including streamlined applications for 20 waivers to state board policy, financial support for successful 21 initiatives and recognition of those practices that can be brought 22 to a district or statewide scale. The primary goal of the 23 accreditation system is to drive school improvement. This 21st 24 Century accountability and accreditation system also should include 25 the methods of addressing capacity set forth in section five, 26 article two-e of this chapter;

1 (3) A statewide balanced assessment process. -- State, 2 district, school and classroom decisionmaking should be grounded in 3 21st Century balanced assessment processes that reflect national 4 and international rigorous performance standards and examine 5 student proficiency in 21st Century content, skills and technology 6 tools. A balanced assessment system includes statewide summative 7 assessments, local benchmark assessments and classroom assessments 8 for learning;

(4) A personnel allocation, licensure and funding process that 9 10 aligns with the needs of 21st Century school systems and is 11 supported by a quality coordinated professional development 12 delivery system. -- Increased accountability demands, as well as 13 the focus on 21st Century learning, require a reexamination of 14 traditional approaches to personnel allocation, licensure and 15 funding. Creating schools of the 21st Century requires new 16 staffing roles and staffing patterns. It also requires ongoing 17 professional development activities focused on enhancing student 18 achievement and achieving specific goals of the school and district 19 strategic plans. Thus, schools should have the ability to access, 20 organize and deliver high-quality embedded professional development 21 that provides staff with in-depth sustained and supported learning. 22 Effective school improvement should allow opportunity for staff to 23 collectively learn, plan and implement curricular and instructional 24 improvements on behalf of the students they serve;

(5) School environments that promote safe, healthy and
26 responsible behavior and provide an integrated system of student

1 support services. -- Each school should create an environment 2 focused on student learning and one where students know they are Furthermore, the school should 3 valued, respected and safe. 4 incorporate programs and processes that instill healthy, safe and 5 responsible behaviors and prepare students for interactions with 6 individuals of diverse racial, ethnic and social backgrounds. 7 School and district processes should include a focus on developing 8 ethical and responsible character, personal dispositions that 9 promote personal wellness through planned daily physical activity 10 and healthy eating habits consistent with high nutritional 11 guidelines and multicultural experiences that develop an 12 appreciation of and respect for diversity;

13 (6) A leadership recruitment, development and support 14 continuum. -- Quality schools and school systems of the 21st 15 Century cannot be created without high-quality leaders. Thus, West 16 Virginia should have an aligned leadership professional development 17 continuum that attracts, develops and supports educational 18 leadership at the classroom, school and district level. This 19 leadership development continuum should focus on creating: (i) 20 Learning-centered schools and school systems; (ii) collaborative 21 processes for staff learning and continuous improvement; and (iii) 22 accountability measures for student achievement;

(7) Equitable access to 21st Century technology and education 24 resources and school facilities conducive to 21st Century teaching 25 and learning. -- A quality educational system of the 21st Century 26 should have access to technology tools and processes that enhance

1 effective and efficient operation. Administrators should have the 2 digital resources to monitor student performance, manage a variety 3 of data and communicate effectively. In the classroom, every 4 teacher in every school should be provided with the instructional 5 resources and educational technology necessary to deliver the West 6 Virginia content standards and objectives. Schools of the 21st 7 Century require facilities that accommodate changing technologies, 8 21st Century instructional processes and 21st Century staffing 9 needs and patterns. These school facilities should mirror the best 10 in green construction and be environmentally and educationally 11 responsive to the communities in which they are located;

12 (8) Aligned public school with postsecondary and workplace 13 readiness programs and standards. -- An educational system in the 14 21st Century should be seen as a continuum from the public school 15 (prekindergarten through twelve) program through postsecondary 16 education. In order to be successful in a global competitive 17 marketplace, learning should be an ongoing, life-long experience. 18 Thus, the public schools and the institutions of postsecondary 19 education in West Virginia should create a system of common 20 standards, expectations and accountability. Creating such an 21 aligned system will enhance opportunities for success and assure a 22 seamless educational process for West Virginia students; and

(9) A universal prekindergarten system. -- A high-quality, universal prekindergarten system should be readily available to severy eligible student. The system should promote oral language and preliteracy skills and reduce the deficit of these foundational

1 skills through proactive, early intervention. Research indicates
2 that universal prekindergarten systems improve graduation rates,
3 reduce grade level retentions and reduce the number of special
4 education placements. Therefore, local school systems should
5 create the supports and provide the resources to assure a quality
6 prekindergarten foundation is available to all eligible students.

7 (g) In addition to the policy-oriented objectives set forth in 8 subsection (f) of this section, the plan established pursuant to 9 this section also shall include at least the following performance-10 oriented objectives:

11 (1) All children entering the first grade will be ready for 12 the first grade;

13 (2) The performance of students falling in the lowest quartile 14 on national and international measures of student performance will 15 improve by fifty percent;

16 (3) Ninety percent of ninth graders will graduate from high 17 school;

18 (4) By 2012, the gap between the county with the lowest 19 college-going rate and the state average as of the effective date 20 of this act will decrease by fifty percent and the college-going 21 rate of the state will equal the college-going rate of the member 22 states of the Southern Regional Education Board; and

(5) By 2020, the gap between the county with the lowest 24 college-going rate and the state average for school year two 25 thousand twelve will decrease by fifty percent and the college-26 going rate of the state will exceed the college-going rate of the

1 member states of the Southern Regional Education Board by five 2 percentage points.

3 ARTICLE 2. STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION.

4 §18-2-23a. Annual professional staff development goals established
by state board; coordination of professional
development programs; program development, approval
and evaluation.

8 (a) Legislative intent. -- The intent of this section is:

9 (1) To provide for the coordination of professional 10 development programs by the state board;

11 (2) To promote high-quality instructional delivery and 12 management practices for a thorough and efficient system of 13 schools; and

14 (3) To ensure that the expertise and experience of state 15 institutions of higher education with teacher preparation programs 16 are included in developing and implementing professional 17 development programs.

(b) *Goals*. -- The state board annually shall establish goals 19 for professional staff development in the public schools of the 20 state. As a first priority, the state board shall require adequate 21 and appropriate professional staff development to ensure high-22 quality teaching that will enable students to achieve the content 23 standards established for the required curriculum in the public 24 schools.

The state board shall submit the goals to the State Department of Education, the Center for Professional Development, the regional

1 educational service agencies, the Higher Education Policy 2 Commission and the Legislative Oversight Commission on Education 3 Accountability on or before January 15, each year.

The goals shall include measures by which the effectiveness of the professional staff development programs will be evaluated. The professional staff development goals shall include separate goals for teachers, principals and paraprofessional service personnel and may include separate goals for classroom aides and others in the public schools.

In establishing the goals, the state board shall review reports that may indicate a need for professional staff development including, but not limited to, the report of the Center for Professional Development created in article three-a, chapter eighteen-a of this code, student test scores on the statewide student assessment program, the measures of student and school performance for accreditation purposes, school and school district report cards and its plans for the use of funds in the strategic staff development fund pursuant to section thirty-two, article two, performance of this code.

(c) The Center for Professional Development shall design a proposed professional staff development program plan to achieve the goals of the state board and shall submit the proposed plan to the state board for approval as soon as possible following receipt of the state board goals each year. In developing and implementing this plan, the center first shall rely upon the available expertise and experience of state institutions of higher education before

1 procuring advice, technical assistance or consulting services from 2 sources outside the state.

3 The proposed plan shall include a strategy for evaluating the 4 effectiveness of the professional staff development programs 5 delivered under the plan and a cost estimate. The state board 6 shall review the proposed plan and return it to the Center for 7 Professional Development noting whether the proposed plan is 8 approved or is not approved, in whole or in part. If a proposed 9 plan is not approved in whole, the state board shall note its 10 objections to the proposed plan or to the parts of the proposed 11 plan not approved and may suggest improvements or specific 12 modifications, additions or deletions to address more fully the 13 goals or eliminate duplication. If the proposed plan is not wholly 14 approved, the Center for Professional Development shall revise the 15 plan to satisfy the objections of the state board. State board 16 approval is required prior to implementation of the professional 17 staff development plan.

18 (d) The state board approval of the proposed professional 19 staff development plan shall establish a Master Plan for 20 Professional Staff Development which shall be submitted by the 21 state board to the affected agencies and to the Legislative 22 Oversight Commission on Education Accountability. The master plan 23 shall include the state board-approved plans for professional staff 24 development by the State Department of Education, the Center for 25 Professional Development, the state institutions of higher 26 education and the regional educational service agencies to meet the

1 professional staff development goals of the state board. The 2 master plan also shall include a plan for evaluating the 3 effectiveness of the professional staff development delivered 4 through the programs and a cost estimate.

5 The master plan shall serve as a guide for the delivery of 6 coordinated professional staff development programs by the State 7 Department of Education, the Center for Professional Development, 8 the state institutions of higher education and the regional 9 educational service agencies beginning on June 1 in the year in 10 which the master plan was approved through May 30 in the following 11 year. This section does not prohibit changes in the Master Plan, 12 subject to state board approval, to address staff development needs 13 identified after the master plan was approved.

(e) Pursuant to section thirty-nine of this article, the state
board shall include in its goals for professional staff development
and in its Master Plan for Professional Staff Development:

17 (1) Professional development for teachers teaching the 18 transitional courses on how to teach the adopted college and career 19 readiness standards for English/language arts and math; and 20 (2) Appropriate professional development for other teachers in

21 <u>at least grades eight through twelve on how to teach the adopted</u> 22 <u>college and career readiness standards in English/language arts and</u> 23 <u>math directly, as embedded in other subject areas or both, as</u> 24 <u>appropriate.</u>

25 §18-2-39. College and career readiness initiative.

26 (a) The Legislature finds that:

1 (1) According to ACT, only twenty-five percent of ACT-tested 2 high school graduates in the nation met college readiness 3 benchmarks in English, reading, mathematics and science and only 4 seventeen percent in West Virginia met the benchmarks in all four 5 subjects;

6 (2) The postsecondary remediation rates of students entering 7 postsecondary institutions directly out of high school indicate 8 that a large percentage of students are not being adequately 9 prepared at the elementary and secondary levels;

10 (3) This high level of postsecondary remediation is causing 11 both students and the state to expend extra resources that would 12 not have to be expended if the students were adequately prepared at 13 the elementary and secondary levels;

14 (4) A strong foundation in English/language arts and math 15 provides a basis for learning in all other subject areas and for 16 on-the-job training;

17 (5) A comparison of the percentages of students considered 18 proficient in eighth grade reading and math by the state assessment 19 and the National Assessment of Educational Progress indicate that 20 the state assessment currently does not accurately reflect college 21 and career readiness; and

(6) In 2010, the Southern Regional Education Board and the National Center for Public Policy and Higher Education issued a Policy brief entitled "Beyond the Rhetoric Improving College Readiness Through Coherent State Policy" which set forth a model Statewide readiness agenda. This college and career readiness

1 initiative is based on that policy brief.

2 (b) Before the 2013-2014 school year, the state board, the 3 Higher Education Policy Commission and the Council for Community 4 and Technical College Education shall collaborate in formally 5 adopting uniform and specific college and career readiness 6 standards for English/language arts and math. The standards shall 7 be clearly linked to state content standards and based on skills 8 and competencies rather than high school course titles. The 9 standards shall allow for a determination of whether a student 10 needs to enroll in a postsecondary remedial course. Initially, the 11 standards for English/language arts and math may remain lower for 12 a high school diploma, but the standards for a high school diploma 13 shall increase gradually so that the standards for a high school 14 diploma and the college and career readiness standards are 15 eventually uniform. The state board shall develop a plan for 16 gradually bringing the standards for a high school diploma and 17 college and career readiness into uniformity, and report this plan 18 to the Legislative Oversight Commission on Education Accountability 19 before December 31, 2012. The state board, the Higher Education 20 Policy Commission and the Council for Community and Technical 21 College Education shall collaborate at least annually to validate 22 that the standards ensure college and career readiness by comparing 23 student performance on the standards to actual performance in 24 introductory English/language arts and math courses. Nothing in 25 this section requires students to score at any certain level on a 26 college and career readiness assessment, exam or test as а

1 condition to receiving a diploma.

2 The results on the comprehensive statewide student (C) 3 assessment program in grade eleven in English/language arts and 4 mathematics shall be used to determine whether a student has met 5 the college and career readiness standards adopted pursuant to 6 subsection (b) of this section. Beginning with the 2014-2015 7 school year, instead of using the comprehensive statewide student 8 assessment program, the state board may develop and implement end-9 of-course exams in English/language arts and math courses it 10 determines appropriate which are designed for determining and which 11 are used to determine whether a student has met the college and 12 career readiness standards. In order to allow for the enrollment 13 in transitional courses in the twelfth grade if necessary pursuant 14 to subsection (e) of this section, the courses, assessments and 15 exams, as applicable, shall be administered before the twelfth 16 grade. A minimum score for each assessment or exam, as applicable, 17 shall be set that is highly predictive of success in college-level 18 introductory courses. When the data becomes available, this score 19 shall be empirically based on actual college performance correlated 20 with test scores. Students achieving the minimum score on these 21 assessments or exams shall avoid enrolling in the transitional 22 courses required pursuant to subsection (e) of this section and 23 shall be placed immediately in a college-level introductory course 24 at a West Virginia two-year or four-year college or university upon 25 admission to the institution. Meeting institutional admissions 26 criteria for colleges and universities is a separate process from

1 the determination of placement in college-level or developmental 2 courses. In no way does the achievement of a minimum score on the 3 assessments described in this section assure full admission status 4 at West Virginia institutions of higher education. Each higher 5 education institution shall publish all criteria for college 6 admission.

7 (d) Starting at least as early as the eighth grade, the 8 curriculum shall be modified as necessary to ensure that there is 9 an explicit focus embedded in each course on the development of 10 English/language arts and math skills that enable learning at the 11 college level.

(e) The state board shall develop a twelfth-grade transitional 12 13 course for both English/language arts and math for those students 14 who are not on track to be college ready based on the assessment or 15 exam, as applicable, required pursuant to subsection (c) of this The transitional courses shall be aligned with the 16 section. 17 standards adopted pursuant to subsection (b) of this section. The 18 state board in collaboration with the West Virginia Higher 19 Education Policy Commission and the Council for Community and 20 Technical College Education shall use the American College Testing 21 Program's Computerized Adaptive Placement Assessment and Support 22 System (COMPASS) or other mutually agreed-upon assessment to 23 determine whether a student has met the college and career 24 readiness standards adopted pursuant to subsection (b) of this 25 section and shall set a minimum score on the test that is highly 26 predictive of success in college-level introductory courses. When

1 the data becomes available, this score shall be empirically based 2 on actual college performance correlated with test scores. All 3 high schools in the state shall offer these transitional courses. 4 All students who fail to attain college and career readiness as 5 indicated by the applicable assessment or exam, required by 6 subsection (c) of this section, shall enroll in the applicable 7 transitional course.

The state board shall include 8 (f) in its qoals for 9 professional staff development required by section twenty-three-a 10 of this article professional development for teachers teaching the 11 transitional courses required by subsection (e) of this section on 12 how to teach the adopted college and career readiness standards. 13 The state board also shall include in its goals appropriate 14 professional development for other teachers in at least grades 15 eight through twelve on how to teach the adopted college and career 16 readiness standards directly, as embedded in other subject areas or 17 both, as appropriate. This professional development ultimately 18 shall be included in the state board approved Master Plan for 19 Professional Staff Development.

(g) Under its authority granted in section one, article three, chapter eighteen-a of this code, the state board shall require all zetacher preparation programs in the state to include appropriate at training for teachers seeking to teach in at least any of grades eight through twelve on how to teach the adopted college and career readiness standards. This training shall be on how to teach the standards directly, through embedding the standards in other

1 courses or both, as appropriate.

(h) For all West Virginia public high school graduates who graduate during or after the 2015-2016 school year, all state institutions of higher education shall use no factor other than the sassessment, exam or test, as applicable, required pursuant to subsections (c) and (e) of this section to determine whether a student is to enroll in a remedial course or is to be placed immediately in a college-level introductory course. Nothing in this subsection prohibits an institution from administering a diagnostic test to determine specific areas of weakness so that the specific weaknesses can be remediated rather than requiring a student to take an entire remedial course.

13 (i) The state board shall:

(1) Hold high schools and districts accountable for increasing the percentages of students who meet the college and career readiness standards as indicated by the assessments, exams or tests, as applicable, required pursuant to subsections (c) and (e) of this section. This accountability shall be achieved through the school and school system accreditation provisions set forth in section five, article two-e of this chapter;

(2) Align the comprehensive statewide student assessment for 22 all grade levels in which the test is given with the college and 23 career readiness standards adopted pursuant to subsection (b) of 24 this section or develop other aligned tests at each grade level so 25 that progress toward college and career readiness in 26 English/language arts and math can be measured; and

1 (3) Hold all schools and districts accountable for helping 2 students in earlier grade levels achieve scores on math and 3 English/language arts tests that predict success in subsequent 4 levels of related coursework. This accountability shall be 5 achieved through the school and school system accreditation 6 provisions set forth in section five, article two-e of this 7 chapter;

8 (j) Except as otherwise specified, all provisions of this 9 section become effective with the 2013-2014 school year.

10 ARTICLE 2E. HIGH QUALITY EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS.

11 §18-2E-5. Process for improving education; education standards; 12 statewide assessment program; accountability 13 measures; Office of Education Performance Audits; 14 school accreditation and school system approval; 15 intervention to correct low performance.

16 (a) Legislative findings, purpose and intent. -- The 17 Legislature makes the following findings with respect to the 18 process for improving education and its purpose and intent in the 19 enactment of this section:

(1) The process for improving education includes four primary21 elements, these being:

(A) Standards which set forth the knowledge and skills that students should know and be able to do as the result of a thorough and efficient education that prepares them for the twenty-first century, including measurable criteria to evaluate student performance and progress;

(B) Assessments of student performance and progress toward
 2 meeting the standards;

3 (C) A system of accountability for continuous improvement 4 defined by high quality standards for schools and school systems 5 articulated by a rule promulgated by the state board and outlined 6 in subsection (c) of this section that will build capacity in 7 schools and districts to meet rigorous outcomes that assure student 8 performance and progress toward obtaining the knowledge and skills 9 intrinsic to a high-quality education rather than monitoring for 10 compliance with specific laws and regulations; and

11 (D) A method for building the capacity and improving the 12 efficiency of schools and school systems to improve student 13 performance and progress.

14 (2) As the Constitutional body charged with the general 15 supervision of schools as provided by general law, the state board 16 has the authority and the responsibility to establish the 17 standards, assess the performance and progress of students against 18 the standards, hold schools and school systems accountable and 19 assist schools and school systems to build capacity and improve 20 efficiency so that the standards are met, including, when 21 necessary, seeking additional resources in consultation with the 22 Legislature and the Governor.

(3) As the Constitutional body charged with providing for a 24 thorough and efficient system of schools, the Legislature has the 25 authority and the responsibility to establish and be engaged 26 constructively in the determination of the knowledge and skills

1 that students should know and be able to do as the result of a 2 thorough and efficient education. This determination is made by 3 using the process for improving education to determine when school 4 improvement is needed, by evaluating the results and the efficiency 5 of the system of schools, by ensuring accountability and by 6 providing for the necessary capacity and its efficient use.

7 (4) In consideration of these findings, the purpose of this 8 section is to establish a process for improving education that 9 includes the four primary elements as set forth in subdivision (1) 10 of this subsection to provide assurances that the high quality 11 standards are, at a minimum, being met and that a thorough and 12 efficient system of schools is being provided for all West Virginia 13 public school students on an equal education opportunity basis.

(5) The intent of the Legislature in enacting this section and section five-c of this article is to establish a process through which the Legislature, the Governor and the state board can work in the spirit of cooperation and collaboration intended in the process for improving education to consult and examine the performance and progress of students, schools and school systems and, when necessary, to consider alternative measures to ensure that all students continue to receive the thorough and efficient education to which they are entitled. However, nothing in this section requires any specific level of funding by the Legislature.

(b) Electronic county and school strategic improvement plans. 25 -- The state board shall promulgate a rule consistent with the 26 provisions of this section and in accordance with article three-b,

1 chapter twenty-nine-a of this code establishing an electronic 2 county strategic improvement plan for each county board and an 3 electronic school strategic improvement plan for each public school 4 in this state. Each respective plan shall be a five-year plan that 5 includes the mission and goals of the school or school system to 6 improve student, school or school system performance and progress, 7 as applicable. The strategic plan shall be revised annually in 8 each area in which the school or system is below the standard on 9 the annual performance measures. The revised annual plan also 10 shall identify any deficiency which is reported on the check lists 11 identified in paragraph (G), subdivision (5), subsection (1) (m) of 12 this section including any deficit more than a casual deficit by 13 the county board. The plan shall be revised when required pursuant 14 to this section to include each annual performance measure upon 15 which the school or school system fails to meet the standard for 16 performance and progress, the action to be taken to meet each 17 measure, a separate time line and a date certain for meeting each 18 measure, a cost estimate and, when applicable, the assistance to be 19 provided by the department and other education agencies to improve 20 student, school or school system performance and progress to meet 21 the annual performance measure.

The department shall make available to all public schools through its website or the West Virginia Education Information System an electronic school strategic improvement plan boilerplate designed for use by all schools to develop an electronic school strategic improvement plan which incorporates all required aspects

1 and satisfies all improvement plan requirements of the No Child 2 Left Behind Act.

3 (c) High-quality education standards and efficiency standards. 4 -- In accordance with the provisions of article three-b, chapter 5 twenty-nine-a of this code, the state board shall adopt and 6 periodically review and update high-quality education standards for 7 student, school and school system performance and processes in the 8 following areas:

9 (1) Curriculum;

10 (2) Workplace readiness skills;

11 (3) Finance;

12 (4) Transportation;

13 (5) Special education;

14 (6) Facilities;

15 (7) Administrative practices;

16 (8) Training of county board members and administrators;

17 (9) Personnel qualifications;

18 (10) Professional development and evaluation;

19 (11) Student performance and progress;

20 (12) School and school system performance and progress;

21 (13) A code of conduct for students and employees;

22 (14) Indicators of efficiency; and

23 (15) Any other areas determined by the state board.

The standards, as applicable, shall incorporate the state's 25 21st Century Skills Initiative and shall assure that graduates are 26 prepared for continuing post-secondary education, training and work

1 and that schools and school systems are making progress toward 2 achieving the education goals of the state.

3 (d) Comprehensive statewide student assessment program. -- The 4 state board shall promulgate a rule in accordance with the 5 provisions of article three-b, chapter twenty-nine-a of this code 6 establishing a comprehensive statewide student assessment program 7 to assess student performance and progress in grades three through 8 twelve. Prior to the 2013-2014 school year, the state board shall 9 align the comprehensive statewide student assessment for all grade 10 levels in which the test is given with the college readiness 11 standards adopted pursuant to section thirty-nine, article two of 12 this chapter or develop other aligned tests to be required at each 13 grade level so that progress toward college readiness in 14 English/language arts and math can be measured. The state board 15 may require that student proficiencies be measured through the ACT 16 EXPLORE and the ACT PLAN assessments or other comparable 17 assessments, which are approved by the state board and provided by 18 future vendors. The state board may require that student 19 proficiencies be measured through the West Virginia writing 20 assessment at any of the grade levels four, seven and ten 21 determined by the state board to be appropriate: Provided, That, 22 effective July 1, 2008, The state board may require that student 23 proficiencies be measured through the West Virginia writing 24 assessment at any of the grade levels four, seven and eleven 25 determined by the state board to be appropriate. The state board 26 may provide through the statewide assessment program other optional

1 testing or assessment instruments applicable to grade levels 2 kindergarten through grade twelve which may be used by each school 3 to promote student achievement upon approval by the school 4 curriculum team or the process for teacher collaboration to improve 5 instruction and learning established by the faculty senate as 6 provided in section six, article five-a of this chapter. The state 7 board annually shall annually publish and make available, 8 electronically or otherwise, to school curriculum teams and teacher 9 collaborative processes the optional testing and assessment 10 instruments. The failure of a school to use any optional testing be cited as a deficiency in 11 and assessment may not anv 12 accreditation review of the school; nor may the exercise of its 13 discretion, as provided in section six, article five-a of this 14 chapter, in using the assessments and implementing the 15 instructional strategies and programs that it determines best to 16 promote student achievement at the school be cited as a deficiency 17 in any accreditation review of the school or in the personnel 18 evaluation of the principal. The use of assessment results are 19 subject to the following:

20 (1) The assessment results for grade levels three through 21 eight and eleven are the only assessment results which may be used 22 for determining whether any school or school system has made 23 adequate yearly progress (AYP);

24 (2) Only the assessment results in the subject areas of 25 <del>reading/language arts</del> <u>English/language arts</u> and mathematics may be 26 used for determining whether a school or school system has made

1 adequate yearly progress (AYP);

2 (3) The results of the West Virginia writing assessment, the 3 ACT EXPLORE assessments and the ACT PLAN assessments may not be 4 used for determining whether a school or school system has made 5 adequate yearly progress (AYP);

6 (4) The results of testing or assessment instruments provided 7 by the state board for optional use by schools and school systems 8 to promote student achievement may not be used for determining 9 whether a school or school system has made adequate yearly progress 10 (AYP); and

(5) All assessment provisions of the comprehensive statewide student assessment program in effect for the school year 2006-2007 shall remain in effect until replaced by the state board rule.

(e) Annual performance measures for Public Law 107-110, the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended (No Child Left Behind Act of 2001). -- The standards shall include annual measures of student, school and school system performance and progress for the grade levels and the content areas defined by performance and progress shall be the only measures school system performance and progress shall be the only measures for determining whether adequately yearly progress under the No 20 Child Left Behind Act has been achieved:

(1) The acquisition of student proficiencies as indicated by 24 student performance and progress on the required accountability 25 assessments at the grade levels and content areas as required by 26 the act subject to the limitations set forth in subsection (d) of

1 this section.

(2) The student participation rate in the uniform statewide
3 assessment must shall be at least ninety-five percent or the
4 average of the participation rate for the current and the preceding
5 two years is ninety-five percent for the school, county and state;
(3) Only for schools that do not include grade twelve, the
7 school attendance rate which shall be no less than ninety percent
8 in attendance for the school, county and state. The following
9 absences are excluded:

10 (A) Student absences excused in accordance with the state 11 board rule promulgated pursuant to section four, article eight of 12 this chapter;

13 (B) Students not in attendance due to disciplinary measures;14 and

15 (C) Absent students for whom the attendance director has 16 pursued judicial remedies compelling attendance to the extent of 17 his or her authority; and

18 (4) The high school graduation rate which shall be no less 19 than eighty percent for the school, county and state; or if the 20 high school graduation rate is less than eighty percent, the high 21 school graduation rate shall be higher than the high school 22 graduation rate of the preceding year as determined from 23 information on the West Virginia Education Information System on 24 August 15.

(f) State annual performance measures for school and school
26 system accreditation. -- The state board shall establish a system

1 to assess and weigh annual performance measures for state 2 accreditation of schools and school systems in a manner that gives 3 credit or points such as an index to prevent any one measure alone 4 from causing a school to achieve less than full accreditation 5 status or a school system from achieving less than full approval 6 status. *Provided*, That a school or school system that achieves 7 adequate yearly progress is eligible for no less than full 8 accreditation or approval status, as applicable, and the system 9 established pursuant to this subsection applies only to schools and 10 school systems that do not achieve adequate yearly progress.

11 The following types of measures, as may be appropriate at the 12 various programmatic levels, may be approved by the state board for 13 the school and school system accreditation state school 14 accreditation and school system approval:

15 (1) The acquisition of student proficiencies as indicated by 16 student performance and progress on the uniform statewide 17 assessment program at the grade levels as provided in subsection 18 (d) of this section. The state board may approve providing bonus 19 points or credits for students scoring at or above mastery and 20 distinguished levels;

21 (2) Writing assessment results in grades tested;

22 (3) School attendance rates;

(4) Percentage of courses taught by highly qualified teachers;
(5) Percentage of students scoring at benchmarks on the
currently tested ACT EXPLORE and ACT PLAN assessments or other
comparable assessments, which are approved by the state board and

1 provided by future vendors;

2 (6) Graduation rates;

3 (7) Job placement rates for vocational programs;

4 (8) Percent of students passing end-of-course career/technical
5 tests; and

6 (9) Percent of students not requiring college remediation
7 classes; and

8 (10) (9) Bonus points or credits for subgroup improvement, 9 advanced placement percentages, dual credit completers and 10 international baccalaureate completers.

(g) In addition to the types of measures which may be approved by the state board for state school and school system accreditation pursuant to subsection (f) of this section, the state board shall use the following measures for state school accreditation and school system approval:

16 (1) Increases in the percent of students who meet the college 17 and career readiness standards as indicated by the assessments, 18 exams or tests, as applicable, required by section thirty-nine, 19 article two of this chapter; and

20 <u>(2) Increases in the percent of students in earlier grade</u> 21 <u>levels making adequate progress toward college readiness in</u> 22 <u>English/language arts and math as indicated by the comprehensive</u> 23 <u>statewide student assessment or other aligned tests required</u> 24 <u>pursuant to subsection (d) of this section.</u>

25 (g) (h) Indicators of exemplary performance and progress. -26 The standards shall include indicators of exemplary student, school

1 and school system performance and progress. The indicators of 2 exemplary student, school and school system performance and 3 progress shall be used only as indicators for determining whether 4 accredited and approved schools and school systems should be 5 granted exemplary status. These indicators shall include, but are 6 not limited to, the following:

7 (1) The percentage of graduates who declare their intent to 8 enroll in college and other post-secondary education and training 9 following high school graduation;

10 (2) The percentage of graduates who receive additional 11 certification of their skills, competence and readiness for 12 college, other post-secondary education or employment above the 13 level required for graduation; and

14 (3) The percentage of students who successfully complete 15 advanced placement, dual credit and honors classes.

(h) (i) Indicators of efficiency. -- In accordance with the 17 provisions of article three-b, chapter twenty-nine-a of this code, 18 the state board shall adopt by rule and periodically review and 19 update indicators of efficiency for use by the appropriate 20 divisions within the department to ensure efficient management and 21 use of resources in the public schools in the following areas:

(1) Curriculum delivery including, but not limited to, the useof distance learning;

24 (2) Transportation;

25 (3) Facilities;

26 (4) Administrative practices;

## 1 (5) Personnel;

2 (6) Use of regional educational service agency programs and 3 services, including programs and services that may be established 4 by their assigned regional educational service agency or other 5 regional services that may be initiated between and among 6 participating county boards; and

7 (7) Any other indicators as determined by the state board.

8 (i) (j) Assessment and accountability of school and school 9 system performance and processes. -- In accordance with the 10 provisions of article three-b, chapter twenty-nine-a of this code, 11 the state board shall establish by rule a system of education 12 performance audits which measures the quality of education and the 13 preparation of students based on the annual measures of student, 14 school and school system performance and progress. The system of 15 education performance audits shall provide information to the state 16 board, the Legislature and the Governor, individually and 17 collectively as the Process for Improving Education Council, upon 18 which they may determine whether a thorough and efficient system of 19 schools is being provided. The system of education performance 20 audits shall include:

(1) The assessment of student, school and school system performance and progress based on the annual measures set forth in subsection (d) of this section;

(2) The evaluation of records, reports and other information
25 collected by the department upon which the quality of education and
26 compliance with statutes, policies and standards may be determined;

(3) The review of school and school system electronic
 2 strategic improvement plans; and

3 (4) The on-site review of the processes in place in schools 4 and school systems to enable school and school system performance 5 and progress and compliance with the standards.

6 (j) (k) Uses of school and school system assessment 7 information. -- The state board and the Process for Improving 8 Education Council established pursuant to section five-c of this 9 article shall use information from the system of education 10 performance audits to assist them in ensuring that a thorough and 11 efficient system of schools is being provided and to improve 12 student, school and school system performance and progress. 13 Information from the system of education performance audits further 14 shall be used by the state board for these purposes, including, but 15 not limited to, the following:

16 (1) Determining school accreditation and school system 17 approval status;

18 (2) Holding schools and school systems accountable for the 19 efficient use of existing resources to meet or exceed the 20 standards; and

(3) Targeting additional resources when necessary to improve22 performance and progress.

The state board shall make accreditation information available to the Legislature, the Governor, the general public and to any individual who requests the information, subject to the provisions of any act or rule restricting the release of information.

1 (k) (1) Early detection and intervention programs. -- Based on 2 the assessment of student, school and school system performance and 3 progress, the state board shall establish early detection and 4 intervention programs using the available resources of the 5 Department of Education, the regional educational service agencies, 6 the Center for Professional Development and the Principals Academy, 7 as appropriate, to assist underachieving schools and school systems 8 to improve performance before conditions become so grave as to 9 warrant more substantive state intervention. Assistance shall 10 include, but is not limited to, providing additional technical 11 assistance and programmatic, professional staff development, and 12 providing monetary, staffing and other resources where appropriate. 13 and, if necessary, making appropriate recommendations to the 14 Process for Improving Education Council.

15 (<u>(m)</u> Office of Education Performance Audits. --

16 (1) To assist the state board and the Process for Improving 17 Education Council in the operation of a system of education 18 performance audits, the state board shall establish an Office of 19 Education Performance Audits consistent with the provisions of this 20 section. The Office of Education Performance Audits shall be 21 operated under the direction of the state board independently of 22 the functions and supervision of the State Department of Education 23 and state superintendent. The Office of Education Performance 24 Audits shall report directly to and be responsible to the state 25 board and the Process for Improving Education Council created in 26 section five-c of this article in carrying out its duties under the
1 provisions of this section.

2 (2) The office shall be headed by a director who shall be 3 appointed by the state board and who shall serve at the will and 4 pleasure of the state board. The annual salary of the director 5 shall be set by the state board and may not exceed eighty percent 6 of the salary cap of the State Superintendent of Schools.

7 (3) The state board shall organize and sufficiently staff the 8 office to fulfill the duties assigned to it by law and by the state 9 board. Employees of the State Department of Education who are 10 transferred to the Office of Education Performance Audits shall 11 retain their benefits and seniority status with the Department of 12 Education.

13 (4) Under the direction of the state board, the Office of 14 Education Performance Audits shall receive from the West Virginia 15 education information system staff research and analysis data on 16 the performance and progress of students, schools and school 17 systems, and shall receive assistance, as determined by the state 18 board, from staff at the State Department of Education, the 19 regional education service agencies, the Center for Professional 20 Development, the Principals Academy and the School Building 21 Authority to carry out the duties assigned to the office.

(5) In addition to other duties which may be assigned to it by the state board or by statute, the Office of Education Performance Audits also shall:

(A) Assure that all statewide assessments of student26 performance used as annual performance measures are secure as

1 required in section one-a of this article;

2 (B) Administer all accountability measures as assigned by the 3 state board, including, but not limited to, the following:

4 (i) Processes for the accreditation of schools and the 5 approval of school systems; and

6 (ii) Recommendations to the state board on appropriate action, 7 including, but not limited to, accreditation and approval action; 8 (C) Determine, in conjunction with the assessment and 9 accountability processes, what capacity may be needed by schools 10 and school systems to meet the standards established by the state 11 board and recommend to the state board and the Process for 12 Improving Education Council plans to establish those needed 13 capacities;

(D) Determine, in conjunction with the assessment and 15 accountability processes, whether statewide system deficiencies 16 exist in the capacity of schools and school systems to meet the 17 standards established by the state board, including the 18 identification of trends and the need for continuing improvements 19 in education, and report those deficiencies and trends to the state 20 board; and the Process for Improving Education Council

(E) Determine, in conjunction with the assessment and accountability processes, staff development needs of schools and school systems to meet the standards established by the state board and make recommendations to the state board, the Process for <u>Improving Education Council</u>, the Center for Professional Development, the regional educational service agencies, the Higher

1 Education Policy Commission and the county boards;

2 (F) Identify, in conjunction with the assessment and 3 accountability processes, exemplary schools and school systems and 4 best practices that improve student, school and school system 5 performance and make recommendations to the state board and the 6 Process for Improving Education Council for recognizing and 7 rewarding exemplary schools and school systems and promoting the 8 use of best practices. The state board shall provide information 9 on best practices to county school systems and shall use 10 information identified through the assessment and accountability 11 processes to select schools of excellence; and

12 (G) Develop reporting formats, such as check lists, which 13 shall be used by the appropriate administrative personnel in 14 schools and school systems to document compliance with various of 15 the applicable laws, policies and process standards as considered 16 appropriate and approved by the state board, including, but not 17 limited to, the following:

(i) The use of a policy for the evaluation of all school
19 personnel that meets the requirements of sections twelve and
20 twelve-a, article two, chapter eighteen-a of this code;

(ii) The participation of students in appropriate physical assessments as determined by the state board, which assessment may anot be used as a part of the assessment and accountability system;

24 (iii) The appropriate licensure of school personnel; and

25 (iv) The school provides multicultural activities.

26 Information contained in the reporting formats is subject to

1 examination during an on-site review to determine compliance with 2 laws, policies and standards. Intentional and grossly negligent 3 reporting of false information are grounds for dismissal.

4 (m) (n) On-site reviews. --

5 (1) The system of education performance audits shall include 6 on-site reviews of schools and school systems which shall be 7 conducted only at the specific direction of the state board upon 8 its determination that the performance and progress of the school 9 or school system are persistently below standard or that other 10 circumstances exist that warrant an on-site review. Any discussion 11 by the state board of schools to be subject to an on-site review or 12 dates for which on-site reviews will be conducted may be held in 13 executive session and is not subject to the provisions of article 14 nine-a, chapter six of this code relating to open governmental 15 proceedings. An on-site review shall be conducted by the Office of 16 Education Performance Audits of a school or school system for the 17 purpose of investigating the reasons for performance and progress 18 that are persistently below standard and making recommendations to 19 the school and school system, as appropriate, and to the state 20 board on such measures as it considers necessary to improve 21 performance and progress to meet the standard. The investigation 22 may include, but is not limited to, the following:

(A) Verifying data reported by the school or county board;
(B) Examining compliance with the laws and policies affecting
student, school and school system performance and progress;
(C) Evaluating the effectiveness and implementation status of

1 school and school system electronic strategic improvement plans;

2 (D) Investigating official complaints submitted to the state 3 board that allege serious impairments in the quality of education 4 in schools or school systems;

5 (E) Investigating official complaints submitted to the state 6 board that allege that a school or county board is in violation of 7 policies or laws under which schools and county boards operate; and (F) Determining and reporting whether required reviews and 8 9 inspections have been conducted by the appropriate agencies, 10 including, but not limited to, the State Fire Marshal, the Health 11 Department, the School Building Authority and the responsible 12 divisions within the Department of Education, and whether noted 13 deficiencies have been or are in the process of being corrected. 14 The Office of Education Performance Audits may not conduct a 15 duplicate review or inspection of any compliance reviews or 16 inspections conducted by the department or its agents or other duly 17 authorized agencies of the state, nor may it mandate more stringent 18 compliance measures.

19 (2) The Director of the Office of Education Performance Audits 20 shall notify the county superintendent of schools five school days 21 prior to commencing an on-site review of the county school system 22 and shall notify both the county superintendent and the principal 23 five school days before commencing an on-site review of an 24 individual school: *Provided*, That the state board may direct the 25 Office of Education Performance Audits to conduct an unannounced 26 on-site review of a school or school system if the state board

1 believes circumstances warrant an unannounced on-site review.

2 (3) The Office of Education Performance Audits shall conduct 3 on-site reviews which are limited in scope to specific areas in 4 which performance and progress are persistently below standard as 5 determined by the state board unless specifically directed by the 6 state board to conduct a review which covers additional areas.

7 (4) An on-site review of a school or school system shall 8 include a person or persons from the Department of Education or a 9 public education agency in the state who has expert knowledge and 10 experience in the area or areas to be reviewed and who has been 11 trained and designated by the state board to perform such 12 functions. If the size of the school or school system and issues 13 being reviewed necessitate the use of an on-site review team or 14 teams, the person or persons designated by the state board shall 15 advise and assist the director to appoint the team or teams. The 16 person or persons designated by the state board shall be the team 17 leaders.

The persons designated by the state board shall be responsible 19 for completing the report on the findings and recommendations of 20 the on-site review in their area of expertise. It is the intent of 21 the Legislature that the persons designated by the state board 22 participate in all on-site reviews that involve their area of 23 expertise, to the extent practicable, so that the on-site review 24 process will evaluate compliance with the standards in a uniform, 25 consistent and expert manner.

26 (5) The Office of Education Performance Audits shall reimburse

a county board for the costs of substitutes required to replace
 county board employees while they are serving on a review team.

3 (6) At the conclusion of an on-site review of a school system, 4 the director and team leaders shall hold an exit conference with 5 the superintendent and shall provide an opportunity for principals 6 to be present for at least the portion of the conference pertaining 7 to their respective schools. In the case of an on-site review of 8 a school, the exit conference shall be held with the principal and 9 curriculum team of the school and the superintendent shall be 10 provided the opportunity to be present. The purpose of the exit 11 conference is to review the initial findings of the on-site review, 12 clarify and correct any inaccuracies and allow the opportunity for 13 dialogue between the reviewers and the school or school system to 14 promote a better understanding of the findings.

(7) The Office of Education Performance Audits shall report the findings of an on-site review to the county superintendent and the principals whose schools were reviewed within thirty days following the conclusion of the on-site review. The Office of Beducation Performance Audits shall report the findings of the onsite review to the state board within forty-five days after the conclusion of the on-site review. A copy of the report shall be provided to the Process for Improving Education Council at its request. A school or county that believes one or more findings of a review are clearly inaccurate, incomplete or misleading, finisrepresent or fail to reflect the true quality of education in the school or county or address issues unrelated to the health,

1 safety and welfare of students and the quality of education, may 2 appeal to the state board for removal of the findings. The state 3 board shall establish a process for it to receive, review and act 4 upon the appeals. The state board shall report to the Legislative 5 Oversight Commission on Education Accountability during its July 6 interim meetings, or as soon thereafter as practical, on each 7 appeal during the preceding school year.

8 (8) The Legislature finds that the accountability and 9 oversight of the following activities and programmatic areas in the 10 public schools is controlled through other mechanisms and that 11 additional accountability and oversight are not only unnecessary 12 but counterproductive in distracting necessary resources from 13 teaching and learning. Therefore, notwithstanding any other 14 provision of this section to the contrary, the following activities 15 and programmatic areas are not subject to review by the Office of 16 Education Performance Audits:

- 17 (A) Work-based learning;
- 18 (B) Use of advisory councils;

19 (C) Program accreditation and student credentials;

- 20 (D) Student transition plans;
- 21 (E) Graduate assessment form;
- 22 (F) Casual deficit;
- 23 (G) Accounting practices;
- 24 (H) Transportation services;
- 25 (I) Special education services;
- 26 (J) Safe, healthy and accessible facilities;

- 1 (K) Health services;
- 2 (L) Attendance director;
- 3 (M) Business/community partnerships;
- 4 (N) Pupil-teacher ratio/split grade classes;

5 (O) Local school improvement council, faculty senate, student 6 assistance team and curriculum team;

- 7 (P) Planning and lunch periods;
- 8 (Q) Skill improvement program;
- 9 (R) Certificate of proficiency;

10 (S) Training of county board members;

11 (T) Excellence in job performance;

12 (U) Staff development; and

13 (V) Preventive discipline, character education and student and 14 parental involvement.

15 (n) (o) School accreditation. -- The state board annually 16 shall review the information from the system of education 17 performance audits submitted for each school and shall issue to 18 every school one of the following approval levels: Exemplary 19 accreditation status, distinction accreditation status, full 20 accreditation status, temporary accreditation status, conditional 21 accreditation status or low performing accreditation status.

(1) Full accreditation status shall be given to a school when school's performance and progress meet or exceed the standards adopted by the state board pursuant to subsection (e) or (f), as <u>applicable</u>, <u>subsections (f) and (g)</u> of this section and it does not have any deficiencies which would endanger student health or safety

1 or other extraordinary circumstances as defined by the state board. 2 A school that meets or exceeds the performance and progress 3 standards but has the other deficiencies shall remain on full 4 accreditation status for the remainder of the accreditation period 5 and shall have an opportunity to correct those deficiencies, 6 notwithstanding other provisions of this subsection.

7 (2) Temporary accreditation status shall be given to a school 8 when the school's performance and progress are below the level 9 required for full accreditation status. Whenever a school is given 10 temporary accreditation status, the county board shall ensure that 11 the school's electronic strategic improvement plan is revised in 12 accordance with subsection (b) of this section to increase the 13 performance and progress of the school to a full accreditation 14 status level. The revised plan shall be submitted to the state 15 board for approval.

16 (3) Conditional accreditation status shall be given to a 17 school when the school's performance and progress are below the 18 level required for full accreditation, but the school's electronic 19 strategic improvement plan meets the following criteria:

20 (A) The plan has been revised to improve performance and 21 progress on the standard or standards by a date or dates certain;

(B) The plan has been approved by the state board; and

22

23 (C) The school is meeting the objectives and time line 24 specified in the revised plan.

(4) Exemplary accreditation status shall be given to a schoolwhen the school's performance and progress substantially exceed the

1 standards adopted by the state board pursuant to subsections (f), 2 and (g) and (h) of this section. The state board shall promulgate 3 legislative rules in accordance with the provisions of article 4 three-b, chapter twenty-nine-a of this code designated to establish 5 standards of performance and progress to identify exemplary 6 schools.

7 (5) Distinction accreditation status shall be given to a 8 school when the school's performance and progress exceed the 9 standards adopted by the state board. The state board shall 10 promulgate legislative rules in accordance with the provisions of 11 article three-b, chapter twenty-nine-a of this code establishing 12 standards of performance and progress to identify schools of 13 distinction.

14 (6) Low-performing accreditation status shall be given to a 15 school whenever extraordinary circumstances exist as defined by the 16 state board.

17 (A) These circumstances shall include, but are not limited to,18 any one or more of the following:

(i) The failure of a school on temporary accreditation status 20 to obtain approval of its revised electronic school strategic 21 improvement plan within a reasonable time period as defined by the 22 state board;

(ii) The failure of a school on conditional accreditation 24 status to meet the objectives and time line of its revised 25 electronic school strategic improvement plan;

26 (iii) The failure of a school to meet a standard by the date

1 specified in the revised plan; and

2 (iv) The results of the most recent statewide assessment in 3 reading and math or other multiple measures as determined by the 4 state board that identify the school as low performing at its 5 programmatic level in three of the last five years.

(B) Whenever the state board determines that the quality of 6 7 education in a school is low performing, the state board shall 8 appoint a team of improvement consultants from the West Virginia 9 Department of Education State System of Support to make 10 recommendations for correction of the low performance. These 11 recommendations shall be communicated to the county board and a 12 process shall be established in conjunction with the State System 13 of Support to correct the identified deficiencies. If progress in 14 correcting the low performance as determined by the state board is 15 not made within one year following the implementation of the 16 measures adopted to correct the identified deficiencies or by a 17 date certain established by the state board after at least one year 18 of implementation, the state board shall place the county board on 19 temporary approval status and provide consultation and assistance 20 to the county board to assist it in the following areas:

21 (i) Improving personnel management;

22 (ii) Establishing more efficient financial management 23 practices;

24 (iii) Improving instructional programs and rules; or

25 (iv) Making any other improvements that are necessary to 26 correct the low performance.

(C) If the low performance is not corrected by a date certain
 2 as set by the state board:

3 (i) The state board shall appoint a monitor who shall be paid 4 at county expense to cause improvements to be made at the school to 5 bring it to full accreditation status within a reasonable time 6 period as determined by the state board. The monitor's work 7 location shall be at the school and the monitor shall work 8 collaboratively with the principal. The monitor shall, at a 9 minimum, report monthly to the state board on the measures being 10 taken to improve the school's performance and the progress being 11 made. The reports may include requests for additional assistance 12 and recommendations required in the judgment of the monitor to 13 improve the school's performance, including, but not limited to, 14 the need for targeting resources strategically to eliminate 15 deficiencies;

(ii) The state board may make a determination, in its sole 17 judgment, that the improvements necessary to provide a thorough and 18 efficient education to the students at the school cannot be made 19 without additional targeted resources, in which case it shall 20 establish a plan in consultation with the county board that 21 includes targeted resources from sources under the control of the 22 state board and the county board to accomplish the needed 23 improvements. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to 24 allow a change in personnel at the school to improve school 25 performance and progress, except as provided by law;

26 (iii) If the low performance is not corrected within one year

1 after the appointment of a monitor, the state board may make a 2 determination, in its sole judgment, that continuing a monitor 3 arrangement is not sufficient to correct the low performance and 4 may intervene in the operation of the school to cause improvements 5 to be made that will provide assurances that a thorough and 6 efficient system of schools will be provided. This intervention 7 may include, but is not limited to, establishing instructional 8 programs, taking such direct action as may be necessary to correct 9 the low performance, declaring the position of principal is vacant 10 and assigning a principal for the school who shall serve at the 11 will and pleasure of and, under the sole supervision of, the state 12 board: Provided, That prior to declaring that the position of the 13 principal is vacant, the state board must make a determination that 14 all other resources needed to correct the low performance are 15 present at the school. If the principal who was removed elects not 16 to remain an employee of the county board, then the principal 17 assigned by the state board shall be paid by the county board. If 18 the principal who was removed elects to remain an employee of the 19 county board, then the following procedure applies:

(I) The principal assigned by the state board shall be paid by 21 the state board until the next school term, at which time the 22 principal assigned by the state board shall be paid by the county 23 board;

(II) The principal who was removed is eligible for all positions in the county, including teaching positions, for which the principal is certified, by either being placed on the transfer

1 list in accordance with section seven, article two, chapter
2 eighteen-a of this code, or by being placed on the preferred recall
3 list in accordance with section seven-a, article four, chapter
4 eighteen-a of this code; and

5 (III) The principal who was removed shall be paid by the 6 county board and may be assigned to administrative duties, without 7 the county board being required to post that position until the end 8 of the school term.

9 (7) The county board shall take no action nor refuse any 10 action if the effect would be to impair further the school in which 11 the state board has intervened.

12 (8) The state board may appoint a monitor pursuant to the 13 provisions of this subsection to assist the school principal after 14 intervention in the operation of a school is completed.

15 (o) (p) Transfers from low-performing schools. -- Whenever a 16 school is determined to be low performing and fails to improve its 17 status within one year, following state intervention in the 18 operation of the school to correct the low performance, any student 19 attending the school may transfer once to the nearest fully 20 accredited school in the county, subject to approval of the fully 21 accredited school and at the expense of the school from which the 22 student transferred.

(p) (q) School system approval. -- The state board annually A shall review the information submitted for each school system from the system of education performance audits and issue one of the following approval levels to each county board: Full approval,

1 temporary approval, conditional approval or nonapproval.

2 (1) Full approval shall be given to a county board whose 3 schools have all been given full, temporary or conditional 4 accreditation status and which does not have any deficiencies which 5 would endanger student health or safety or other extraordinary 6 circumstances as defined by the state board. A fully approved 7 school system in which other deficiencies are discovered shall 8 remain on full accreditation status for the remainder of the 9 approval period and shall have an opportunity to correct those 10 deficiencies, notwithstanding other provisions of this subsection.

11 (2) Temporary approval shall be given to a county board whose 12 education system is below the level required for full approval. 13 Whenever a county board is given temporary approval status, the 14 county board shall revise its electronic county strategic 15 improvement plan in accordance with subsection (b) of this section 16 to increase the performance and progress of the school system to a 17 full approval status level. The revised plan shall be submitted to 18 the state board for approval.

(3) Conditional approval shall be given to a county board 20 whose education system is below the level required for full 21 approval, but whose electronic county strategic improvement plan 22 meets the following criteria:

(i) The plan has been revised in accordance with subsection(b) of this section;

(ii) The plan has been approved by the state board; and(iii) The county board is meeting the objectives and time line

1 specified in the revised plan.

(4) Nonapproval status shall be given to a county board which
fails to submit and gain approval for its electronic county
4 strategic improvement plan or revised electronic county strategic
5 improvement plan within a reasonable time period as defined by the
6 state board or which fails to meet the objectives and time line of
7 its revised electronic county strategic improvement plan or fails
8 to achieve full approval by the date specified in the revised plan.
9 (A) The state board shall establish and adopt additional
10 standards to identify school systems in which the program may be
11 nonapproved and the state board may issue nonapproval status
12 whenever extraordinary circumstances exist as defined by the state

13 board.

(B) Whenever a county board has more than a casual deficit, as defined in section one, article one of this chapter, the county board shall submit a plan to the state board specifying the county board's strategy for eliminating the casual deficit. The state board either shall approve or reject the plan. If the plan is prejected, the state board shall communicate to the county board the reason or reasons for the rejection of the plan. The county board may resubmit the plan any number of times. However, any county board that fails to submit a plan and gain approval for the plan from the state board before the end of the fiscal year after a deficit greater than a casual deficit occurred or any county board swhich, in the opinion of the state board, fails to comply with an approved plan may be designated as having nonapproval status.

1 (C) Whenever nonapproval status is given to a school system, 2 the state board shall declare a state of emergency in the school 3 system and shall appoint a team of improvement consultants to make 4 recommendations within sixty days of appointment for correcting the 5 emergency. When the state board approves the recommendations, they 6 shall be communicated to the county board. If progress in 7 correcting the emergency, as determined by the state board, is not 8 made within six months from the time the county board receives the 9 recommendations, the state board shall intervene in the operation 10 of the school system to cause improvements to be made that will 11 provide assurances that a thorough and efficient system of schools 12 will be provided. This intervention may include, but is not 13 limited to, the following:

(i) Limiting the authority of the county superintendent and to county board as to the expenditure of funds, the employment and dismissal of personnel, the establishment and operation of the rschool calendar, the establishment of instructional programs and nules and any other areas designated by the state board by rule, which may include delegating decision-making authority regarding these matters to the state superintendent;

21 (ii) Declaring that the office of the county superintendent is 22 vacant;

(iii) Delegating to the state superintendent both the authority to conduct hearings on personnel matters and school closure or consolidation matters and, subsequently, to render the resulting decisions and the authority to appoint a designee for the

1 limited purpose of conducting hearings while reserving to the state
2 superintendent the authority to render the resulting decisions;

3 (iv) Functioning in lieu of the county board <del>of education</del> in 4 a transfer, sale, purchase or other transaction regarding real 5 property; and

6 (v) Taking any direct action necessary to correct the 7 emergency including, but not limited to, the following:

8 (I) Delegating to the state superintendent the authority to 9 replace administrators and principals in low performing schools and 10 to transfer them into alternate professional positions within the 11 county at his or her discretion; and

(II) Delegating to the state superintendent the authority to fill positions of administrators and principals with individuals determined by the state superintendent to be the most qualified for the positions. Any authority related to intervention in the operation of a county board granted under this paragraph is not subject to the provisions of article four, chapter eighteen-a of this code;

19 (q) (r) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, 20 the state board may intervene immediately in the operation of the 21 county school system with all the powers, duties and 22 responsibilities contained in subsection (p) (q) of this section, 23 if the state board finds the following:

(1) That the conditions precedent to intervention exist as provided in this section; and that delaying intervention for any period of time would not be in the best interests of the students

1 of the county school system; or

2 (2) That the conditions precedent to intervention exist as 3 provided in this section and that the state board had previously 4 intervened in the operation of the same school system and had 5 concluded that intervention within the preceding five years.

(r) (s) Capacity. -- The process for improving education 6 7 includes a process for targeting resources strategically to improve 8 the teaching and learning process. Development of electronic 9 school and school system strategic improvement plans, pursuant to 10 subsection (b) of this section, is intended, in part, to provide 11 mechanisms to target resources strategically to the teaching and 12 learning process to improve student, school and school system 13 performance. When deficiencies are detected through the assessment 14 and accountability processes, the revision and approval of school 15 and school system electronic strategic improvement plans shall 16 ensure that schools and school systems are efficiently using 17 existing resources to correct the deficiencies. When the state 18 board determines that schools and school systems do not have the 19 capacity to correct deficiencies, the state board shall work with 20 the county board to develop or secure the resources necessary to 21 increase the capacity of schools and school systems to meet the 22 standards and, when necessary, seek additional resources in 23 consultation with the Legislature and the Governor.

The state board shall recommend to the appropriate body including, but not limited to, the Process for Improving Education the Legislature, county boards, schools and communities

1 methods for targeting resources strategically to eliminate 2 deficiencies identified in the assessment and accountability 3 processes. When making determinations on recommendations, the 4 state board shall include, but is not limited to, the following 5 methods:

6 (1) Examining reports and electronic strategic improvement 7 plans regarding the performance and progress of students, schools 8 and school systems relative to the standards and identifying the 9 areas in which improvement is needed;

10 (2) Determining the areas of weakness and of ineffectiveness 11 that appear to have contributed to the substandard performance and 12 progress of students or the deficiencies of the school or school 13 system and requiring the school or school system to work 14 collaboratively with the West Virginia Department of Education 15 State System of Support to correct the deficiencies;

16 (3) Determining the areas of strength that appear to have 17 contributed to exceptional student, school and school system 18 performance and progress and promoting their emulation throughout 19 the system;

(4) Requesting technical assistance from the School Building
21 Authority in assessing or designing comprehensive educational
22 facilities plans;

(5) Recommending priority funding from the School BuildingAuthority based on identified needs;

(6) Requesting special staff development programs from the26 Center for Professional Development, the Principals Academy, higher

1 education, regional educational service agencies and county boards
2 based on identified needs;

3 (7) Submitting requests to the Legislature for appropriations4 to meet the identified needs for improving education;

5 (8) Directing county boards to target their funds 6 strategically toward alleviating deficiencies;

7 (9) Ensuring that the need for facilities in counties with 8 increased enrollment are appropriately reflected and recommended 9 for funding;

10 (10) Ensuring that the appropriate person or entity is held 11 accountable for eliminating deficiencies; and

12 (11) Ensuring that the needed capacity is available from the 13 state and local level to assist the school or school system in 14 achieving the standards and alleviating the deficiencies.